

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF GUAM

MARY L.M.	MORAN
CLERK OF	COURT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA Plaintiff,)	CRIMINAL CASE NO. 02-00070-001
vs.)	SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION IN
LOURDES ROSA MORA aka LOURDES ROSA MORA CREW Defendant.)) _)	SUPPORT OF PETITION

Violation of Supervised Release; Request for a Summons Re:

I, Grace D. Flores, am the U.S. Probation Officer assigned to supervise the Court-ordered conditions of supervision for Lourdes R. Mora, and in that capacity declare as follows:

Lourdes R. Mora aka Lourdes Mora Crew was sentenced on May 28, 2003 to a 12 month term of imprisonment followed by a 36 month term of supervised release, for Possession of Methamphetamine Hydrochloride with Intent to Distribute, in violation of 21 U.S.C. §841(a)(1). On May 24, 2004, Ms. Mora's supervision was revoked for eight months with 28 months of supervised release to follow. She is alleged to have committed the following violations:

Mandatory Condition: The defendant shall refrain from any unlawful use of a controlled substance. On September 15 and November 4, 2005, Ms. Mora tested presumptively positive for the use of methamphetamine. The specimens were sent to the laboratory and subsequently confirmed positive for the use of amphetamine and methamphetamine.

Special condition: Defendant shall participate in a substance abuse treatment program approved by the U.S. Probation Office for the treatment of narcotic addiction or drug or alcohol dependency which will include testing for the detection of substance use or abuse. Ms. Mora failed to appear for her counseling appointments on August 4, 8, 15, 2005; October 5, 6, 12, 19, 2005; December 7, 2005; and January 5, 2006. Ms Mora failed to appear for drug testing on October 8, 16, 21, 22, 29, and 30, 2005; November 11, 19, 23, 27, and 28, 2005; December 10, 13, 22, and 28, 2005; January 10, 12, 26, 28, 30, and 31, 2006; and February 28, 2006.

SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF PETITION

Violation of Supervised Release; Request for a Summons

Re: MORA, Lourdes Rosa USDC Cr. Cs. No. 02-00070-001

March 9, 2006

Page 2

Standard condition: The defendant shall notify the probation officer at least ten days prior to any change in residence or employment. Ms. Mora moved on three occasions without prior notice to the U.S. Probation Office. On monthly supervision reports submitted on December 5, 2005, January 6, 2006, and February 3, 2006, Ms. Mora indicated that she moved on November 8, 2005, November 22, 2005 and January 6, 2006 respectively.

Recommendation: It is respectfully requested that this supplemental information also be taken into consideration at a scheduled violation hearing.

I declare, under penalty of perjury, that the foregoing is true and correct, except those matters stated upon information and belief, and as to those matters, I believe them to be true.

Executed this 9th day of March 2006, at Hagatna, Guam, in conformance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 1746.

Respectfully submitted,

FRANK MICHAEL CRUZ Chief U.S. Probation Officer

By:

GRACE D. FLORES

U.S. Probation Officer

Reviewed by:

U.S. Probation Officer Supervision Unit Leader

Marivic P. David, AUSA cc:

Joaquin C. Arriola, Jr., Defense Counsel

File

VIOLATION WORKSHEET

1.	Defendant	Lourdes	Rosa Mora	aka	Lourde	s Ro	sa Mora	a Crew		
2.	Docket Number	r (Year-Sequence-Defendant No.) CR 02-00070-001)1				
3.	District/Office	Guam				,		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
4.	Original Sentend	ce Date	05 month	_ / -	28 day	- / -	O3 year	-		
(If diffe	erent than above): Original District	/Office	N/A							
6.	Original Docket	Number (Year-Sequ	ence	-Defend	dant	No.)	N/A		
7.	List each violati	ion and de	termine th	пе ар	plicable	grad	de (<u>see</u>	§7B1.1(b))		
<u>Viola</u>	tion(s)									Grade
• Po	ositive test result	s for use o	of metham	phet	amine					С
• Fa	ailure to report fo	r urinalysi:	S							С
• Fa	ailure to report fo	r drug trea	atment co	unsel	ing					С
• Fa	ailure to notify the l	U.S. Probat	ion Office ;	orior t	o chang	e in r	esidentia	al address.		С
•	<u></u>			,						
•										
8.	Most Serious G	irade of Vi	olation (<u>se</u>	<u>ee</u> § 7	/B1.1(b))				С
9.	Criminal History	y Category	/ (<u>see</u> §78	31.4(a))					1
10.	Range of Impris	tange of Imprisonment (see §7B1.4(a))							months	
11.	Sentencing Opt		irade B an	d C \	Violatio	ns O	nly (Ch	eck the		
х	(a) If the minim least one mont imprisonment.	num term (h but not	of imprisor more than	nmer ı six	nt deteri months	mine , §71	d under 31.3(c)(§7B1.4 (Te (1) provides	erm of Imprisor sentencing op	nment) is at tions to
	(b) If the minim than six month imprisonment.	num term is but not	of impriso more than	nmer 1 ten	nt deter months	mine s, §7	d under B1.3(c)	· §7B1.4 (Te (2) provides	erm of Imprisor sentencing op	nment) is more ptions to
	(c) If the minim	num term	of impriso	nmer	nt deter	mine	d under	· §7B1.4 (Te	erm of Imprisor	nment) is more

		<u> </u>		<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>					
12.	Unsatisfied Cond	ditions of Origina	al Sentence						
	previously impos	List any restitution, fine, community confinement, home detention, or intermittent confinement previously imposed in connection with the sentence for which revocation is ordered that remains unpaid or unserved at the time of revocation (see §7B1.3(d)):							
	Restitution (\$)	0		Community Confinemer	nt	0			
	Fine (\$)	0	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Home Detention	N/A	,			
	Other			Intermittent Confinemen	nt				
13.	Supervised Rele	ase							
				gth, if any, of the term of <u>e</u> §§7B1.3(g)(1)).	f supervise	d release			
		Term:	N/A to	N/A years.					
	of imprisonment ordered to recon	If supervised release is revoked and the term of imprisonment imposed is less than the maximum term of imprisonment imposable upon revocation, the defendant may, to the extent permitted by law, be ordered to recommence supervised release upon release from imprisonment (see 18 U.S.C. §3583(e) and §7B1.3(g)(2)).							
	Period of superv	ised release to b	e served follow	ving release from imprisor	nment:	19-25 months			
14.	Departure								
	List aggravating of imprisonment		actors that ma	y warrant a sentence out	side the ap	pplicable range			
	An upward departure may be warranted as the original sentence was the result of a downward departure for substantial assistance, pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 7B1.4, Application Note 4.								
15.	Official Detentio	n Adjustment (<u>s</u>	<u>ee</u> §7B1.3(e)):	months	·	days			

Lourdes Rosa Mora aka Lourdes Rosa Mora

Defendant: